

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Bos 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.        | FILING DATE    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.    | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|------------------------|----------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| 10/810,436             | 03/25/2004     | Min-Chih Hsuan       | JCLA12013              | 9790             |
| 7                      | 590 06/22/2006 |                      | EXAMINER               |                  |
| J.C. Patents, I        | nc.            |                      | ARORA, AJAY            |                  |
| Suite 250<br>4 Venture |                |                      | ART UNIT               | PAPER NUMBER     |
| Irvine, CA 92          | 2618           |                      | 2811                   |                  |
| •                      |                |                      | DATE MAILED: 06/22/200 | ,                |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| _  |  |  |   | \V     |
|--|--|--|---|--------|
|  |  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)  |        |
| Office Action Summary  |  | 10/810,436   | HSUAN ET AL.  |        |
|  |  | Examiner   | Art Unit  |        |
|  |  | Ajay K. Arora  | 2811  |        |
| Period fo  | The MAILING DATE of this communication ap<br>or Reply  | opears on the cover sheet w  | ith the correspondence address  | ;      |
| A SH<br>WHIC<br>- Exte<br>after<br>- If NC<br>- Failu<br>Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI<br>CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1<br>SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In the provision of the provisio | DATE OF THIS COMMUNI .136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI te, cause the application to become A | CATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communion BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |        |
| Status   |  |  |   |        |
| 2a)□   | Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under  | is action is non-final.  ance except for formal mat  |   | its is |
| Disposit   | ion of Claims  |  |   |        |
| 5)   | Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> are subject to restriction and/or  | awn from consideration.  |   |        |
| Applicat   | ion Papers   |  |   |        |
| 10)  | The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The specification is objected to be a specification of the specification is objected to be a specification of the specification of the specification is objected to be a specification of the specifi                 | cepted or b) objected to<br>e drawing(s) be held in abeya<br>ction is required if the drawing  | nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).<br>g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.1   |        |
| Priority (   | under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |  |   |        |
| 12) <u>□</u><br>a)   | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documer  2. Certified copies of the priority documer  3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bures  See the attached detailed Office action for a list   | nts have been received.<br>nts have been received in a<br>ority documents have beer<br>au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).                            | Application No n received in this National Stage  | e      |
| 2) Notice 3) Infor   | nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/06 er No(s)/Mail Date   | Paper No   | Summary (PTO-413)<br>(s)/Mail Date<br>Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)<br>                         | )      |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claim 1-14, drawn to a chip package, classified in class 257, subclass
   738.
- II. Claim 15-23, drawn to a chip packaging process, classified in class 438, subclass 613.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions Group II and Group I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process, for example, in Claim 15, instead of "sawing" the wafer, the cut in the wafer may be performed by laser cutting.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Page 3

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/810,436 Page 4

Art Unit: 2811

This application is further restricted because it contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Embodiment 1 of Figs. 1A-1C

Embodiment 2 of Figs. 2A-2C

Embodiment 3 of Figs. 5-6

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/810,436

Art Unit: 2811

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ajay K. Arora whose telephone number is (571) 272-8347. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon through Fri, 8am to 4:30pm.

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eddie Lee can be reached on (571) 272-1732. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1096.

EDDIE LEE

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800